

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING D	PATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/091,170	70 03/04/2002		Edward T. Maas	HELLWAT-7	9952
20455	7590	04/28/2004		EXAM	INER
LATHROP & CLARK LLP 740 REGENT STREET SUITE 400				PHAM, MINE	I CHAU THI
	P.O. BOX 1507				PAPER NUMBER
MADISON,	MADISON, WI 537011507			1724	

DATE MAILED: 04/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/091,170	MAAS ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Minh-Chau T. Pham	1724
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
	• •		
PITE - External from the control of	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a republic priod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a poly within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status			
1)[]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
		s action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa		ers, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Dispositi	on of Claims		
	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application		
51	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed.	wn from consideration.	
	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.		
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
Applicati	on Papers		
9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
	Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc		ov the Examiner
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing	s) is objected to Sec 37 CEP 1 121(d)
11) 🔲 -	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152
	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
_	· ·		
م الــاركات	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
,-			
	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents		
	2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Ap	pplication No
;	Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been i	received in this National Stage
	application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not r	eceived.
ttachment(is)		
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗀 المعادلة عندانا	(DTO 446)
	of Droftonormania Datast D		immary (PTO-413)
) 🔲 Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	ו מטלו וזטנטו	/iviali Dale
)	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date		/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/091,170

Art Unit: 1724

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hellenbrand et al (5,096,596; Abstract; 22, 24, 26, 36, 63, 64, 65, 72 & 78 in Fig. 1; col. 2, line 45 through col. 3, line 9 and line 56 through col. 4, line 37).

Hellenbrand et al disclose an aeration control apparatus for water filtration system for removing contaminants from well comprising an aeration tank (24) having a water inlet (22), a water outlet (40), a diffuser (26), a pick-up tube (36), a bleed-off tube (74), a drain tube (70), a source of compressed gas (86), a first valve (78) connecting the source of compressed gas, the second valve (72) for opening the flow passage between the source of compressed gas and the aeration tank, a third valve (64)

Application/Control Number: 10/091,170

Art Unit: 1724

operated by the opening of the second valve to connect the interior of the aeration tank, a timer (col. 3, line 65 through col. 4, line 2) is a controller controlling the flow of water and air through the system by automatically adjusting the positions of valves (72, 78 and 64), a bias member (65) positioned between the valve piston and valve stem to bias the valve stem into engagement with the valve piston. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a controller for the aeration tank as taught by Hellenbrand et al to provide an improved apparatus for removing contaminants and undesirable odors found in well water.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- McLean (3,649,532) discloses a method of treating water.
- Kreusch et al (4,534,867) disclose a system for removing iron and other substances from potable water.
- Ohira et al (5,945,004) disclose an apparatus for treating waste liquids.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh-Chau T. Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-1163. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon/Tues/Thur/Fri 7:00 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Blaine Copenheaver can be reached on (571) 272-1156. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/091,170

Art Unit: 1724

Page 4

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Minh-Chau Pham Patent Examiner

Art Unit : 1724

April 23, 2004